

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
for CDRU # 250 Case File # 95-270847.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

---

---

---

CRM - 12438

## INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: STEVE ROBERTSON

Area(s) of Expertise: HAIR AND FIBERS

Review commenced at: 4:00P (Time), 5/19/99 (Date)

File #: 95-270847

Laboratory #(s): 60115021 60306014

60124052

60513049

### Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>TK</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: \_\_\_\_\_

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 60115021 60124052 Date: 3-3-86

Laboratory Number: 60306014 Date: 3-26-86

Laboratory Number: 60513049 Date: 5-29-86

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ UNIDENTIFIED TECHNICIAN

Laboratory Number: 60115021 60124052

Laboratory Number: 60306014

Laboratory Number: 60513049

Page 1 of 4

Initials: SR

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: REPORT OF INVESTIGATION FROM TENNESSEE  
DATED 11-27-85 AND FROM TEXAS DATED 2-28-86

### Results of Review

File #: 95-270847

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q7, Q25-Q27,  
K1, K2, K6-K8

### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

### Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 4

Initials JUN

## Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

- #1. THERE IS INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION TO DETERMINE IF THE  
HAIR AND FIBER COMPARISONS WERE PERFORMED IN A SCIENTIFICALLY  
ACCEPTABLE MANNER.
- #2. THE RESULTS ARE NOT ADEQUATELY DOCUMENTED IN  
THE NOTES. NONE OF THE NOTES ARE DATED. THE NUMBER  
OF HAIR AVAILABLE/EXAMINED IN THE K1, K2, K6, K7, K8 SAMPLES  
IS NOT DOCUMENTED. THE TECHNICIAN NOTES MAKE NO MENTION  
OF ANY HAIR OR FIBERS PRESENT OR RECOVERED FROM ITEMS  
Q1-Q7 AND Q25-Q27 WHILE THE EXAMINER NOTES  
APPARENTLY DESCRIBE HAIR RECOVERED FROM THESE ITEMS.  
THERE IS NO DOCUMENTATION OF THE TESTS PERFORMED TO  
IDENTIFY THE Q7 AND K9 FIBERS AS NYLON OR HOW THEY

Review completed at: 5:15P (Time), 5/19/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1 1/4 HOURS

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 4 pages.

Steve Roberts  
(Signature)

5/19/99  
(Date)

Additional Comments  
(Set forth by question #, if applicable)

File #: 95-270847

DIFFER. THE EXAMINER USES ABBREVIATIONS THAT <sup>SUN</sup> ARE THIS  
REVIEWER CANNOT INTERPRET.

Page 4 of 4

Initials: SUN